

**ENTERED**

July 18, 2025

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

ELI TREVINO,

Plaintiff,

VS.

MARTIN J O'MALLEY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-CV-00177

**ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION**


On June 16, 2025, United States Magistrate Judge Julie K. Hampton issued her “Memorandum and Recommendation” (D.E. 15), recommending that the Commissioner’s denial of disability benefits in this social security appeal be reversed and remanded for further consideration. The parties were provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge’s memorandum and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been timely filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation. *Guillory v. PPG Indus., Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge’s memorandum and recommendation (D.E. 15), and all other relevant

documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, Plaintiff Eli Trevino's construed motion for summary judgment (D.E. 12) is **GRANTED**, the Commissioner's motion for summary judgment (D.E. 13) is **DENIED**, and the Commissioner's denial of disability benefits is **REVERSED AND REMANDED** for further consideration.

**ORDERED** on July 18, 2025.

  
NELVA GONZALES RAMOS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE